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• Astrophysical particle DM and indirect detection.
• Searching for the anti-deuteron – the why and how.
• **GAPS:**
  An anti-deuteron search using a novel technique.
  • GAPS technique.
  • Sensitivity reach and uncertainties.
  • Current status and future prospects.
Scientific Motivation

- DM postulated as early as the 1930’s. Gravitational evidence strengthened considerably over time.

- Cosmology tells us that DM is non-baryonic and is not hot.

- Among CDM possibilities, **WIMP** is particularly attractive: Relic density consistent with weak-scale particle and new interactions at weak-scale can explain EWSB.

- There has been an enormous amount of theoretical activity for possible WIMP candidates and ways to detect them.

- A “**Grand Search for DM**” is now underway.

However, after all these years …
... actually, we have no idea!

(from G. Bertone)

... and we really need to search for DM particles using any (all) possible techniques.
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## Summary of cosmic-ray probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Kinematic Range</th>
<th>Experimental Challenges</th>
<th>Backgrounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e^-$</td>
<td>$&gt; 100$ GeV</td>
<td>particle ID</td>
<td>e’s are ubiquitous in CR’s!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^+$</td>
<td>$&gt; 20$ GeV</td>
<td>p background</td>
<td>local sources secondary production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{p}$</td>
<td>$&gt; 20$ GeV</td>
<td>large aperture</td>
<td>secondary production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{d}$</td>
<td>$&lt; 1$ GeV</td>
<td>low flux</td>
<td>v. small background</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The unique possibilities of anti-deuterons as a background-free probe of new physics → a big interest from theoretical community, e.g.:


… and many more (apologies if your paper is not here!).
**Why Anti-deuterons?**

Unlike anti-protons, which are easy to produce as secondary particles, anti-deuteron secondaries are severely suppressed at low energies.

**Primary Component (DM):**

\[
\chi \chi \rightarrow \gamma, \bar{p}, \bar{d}
\]

**Secondary Component, includes:**

\[
pA \rightarrow \bar{d}X \quad [\text{via } p(pn)n]
\]

where \( A = p, \text{He} \)

Anti-deuterons provide extremely clean signature, but low fluxes result in a daunting experimental challenge!

**New experiment:**  
**General AntiParticle Spectrometer (GAPS)**
BESS

Balloon-borne Experiment with Superconducting Solenoid (Japan-US Collaboration)

- Series of flights of increasing duration between 1993-2008.
- Large, uniform magnet (1T), precision tracker.
- Best limits on anti-He, anti-D. \( F(\text{anti-D}) < 10^{-4}/m^2/s/sr/GeV \)

- **Heavy, complex experiment!**
GAPS Collaboration

Collaboration meeting, UCB (2010)

Conventional method of magnetic mass spectrometer is not optimal for GAPS. (Very large magnets with thin detector materials are needed for a deep survey).

GAPS introduces an original method. GAPS utilizes the de-excitation sequence of exotic atoms.
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Conventional method of magnetic mass spectrometer is not optimal for GAPS. (Very large magnets with thin detector materials are needed for a deep survey).

Detection principle was verified and high X-ray yield was shown in accelerator tests (KEK antiproton beam, '04 - '05).

1. Once $D$ is slowed down and stopped in the target,
2. an excited exotic atom is formed,
3. which deexcites with emitting X-rays,
4. and annihilates with producing a pion shower.

Detection principle was verified and high X-ray yield was shown in accelerator tests (KEK antiproton beam, '04 - '05).
GAPS consists of two detectors (acceptance ~2.7 m²sr):

Si(Li) Detector (target and tracker):
- Si(Li) tracker: 13 layers of Si(Li) wafers
- relatively low Z material
- good X-ray resolution
- circular modules segmented into 8 strips
  → 3D particle tracking
- 270 per layer (total: ~3500)
- timing: ~50 ns
- dual channel electronics
  5-200 keV: X-rays (resolution:~2 keV)
  0.1-200 MeV: charged particle

TOF and Anticoincidence Shield:
- plastic scintillator with PMTs surrounds tracker
- track charged particles, dE/dX
- velocity measurement
- anticoincidence for charged particles
Main Challenges for GAPS

• Basic detection technique has been established, but the difficulty is to translate to a full-scale instrument.
  • Large scale Si(Li) production at reasonable cost.
  • Building a hermetic detector (i.e. no cracks, etc.).

• Rare-event detector → backgrounds need to be fully modeled and understood.

Important: with GAPS, there are three ways to reject background:

1. Particle ID: TOF $\beta$, TOF veto, dE/dX & depth
2. X-rays
3. Pion track multiplicity

• A prototype / test experiment is essential: pGAPS (2012).
GAPS Timeline

- **2003**: Study of detection principle
  - KEK Beamtests

- **2004-2007**: Basic Design

- **2008-2011**: Technical Validation
  - pGAPS flight in Japan
  - NASA grant for pGAPS started
  - Positioned as ISAS/WG

- **2012-2015**: Detailed Design & Fabrication

- **2016-2019**: Antarctic Science Flights
  - LDB (or ULDB) flights from Mc Murdo

- **2020**: Now
  - bGAPS
Prototype GAPS (pGAPS) goals:

- demonstrate stable, low noise operation of components at float altitude and ambient pressure.
- demonstrate the Si(Li) cooling approach and verify thermal model.
- measure incoherent background level in a flight-like configuration.

Would fly from Taiki, Japan
6 commercial Semikon detectors.
Homemade detectors (test for bGAPS fabrication).
Energy resolution $< 3 \text{ keV} @ 60 \text{ keV}$.
Operation at ambient pressure. (8mbar).
Readout using proven system (NCT electronics).
pGAPS Time-of-Flight System

- 3 planes of TOF
  - 1 plane = 3×3 crossed paddles
- 3mm scintillator (EJ-200, BC-408)
- Hamamatsu R-7600 PMT (UBA)
- timing resolution: < 400 ps
- charge resolution: < 0.30 e
- angular resolution: 8°
Integration at UCB/SSL (July 2011)

TOF Pre-amp assembly
Detector, Si(Li) electronics, and pressure vessels
Flight computer

Inside the detector vessel
Installing TOF layer
Thermal system
Integration at UCB/SSL (July 2011)

Integrated pGAPS Detector (26 July 2011)

Tired, but happy, team
**Status & Future Prospects**

**GAPS Program:**
- Prototype integrated & ready for flight in 2012.
- Proposing LDB instrument (for first flight in several years).
- ULDB (300 day) flights, when available, would greatly improve sensitivity reach.
- *(Possible future satellite instrument)*.

**AMS** now launched, anti-D results perhaps in several years.

What is actual sensitivity of new AMS to anti-D?  
What is effective aperture, including geomagnetic cutoff?

→ **Importance of developing GAPS to flight status:**
- Anti-D is a unique probe; currently only two experiments considered for next decade (c.f. ~20 direct detection).
- GAPS is completely complementary to AMS:  
  - GAPS uses different technique, has different backgrounds  
  - GAPS can be scaled to reach much greater sensitivity.
- Long timetable → essential to start bGAPS development now.
GAPS anti-D Sensitivity Reach

- Cosmic anti-D have never been detected. Could be produced by new physics.

- Primary anti-D production:
  - Supersymmetry (LSP)
  - Kaluza-Klein UED (LKP)
  - Warped ED (LZP)
  - Primordial BH’s

- Sub-GeV region essentially background free; the detection of even a single, clean event is important.

- **GAPS will extend sensitivity reach by 2-3 orders of magnitude, using very different technique than BESS, AMS or any previous experiment.**
Uncertainties

There are a number of key uncertainties:

Experimental:

- Instrument aperture:
  detector performance, trigger/recon. strategies, etc.
- Backgrounds (astrophysical, instrumental)

Prototype flight will help understand these items.

Theoretical (model) expectations:

- DM density: DM halo model.
- Production of anti-D (coalescence of anti-baryons).
- Propagation in MW.
- Solar modulation.

Propagation appears to give the largest overall uncertainty;
Uncertainty larger in primary signal than in secondary background.
Some uncertainty in background, but background only matters for ULDB.
Dominant uncertainty relates to signal estimate, coming from propagation
→ will PAMELA (AMS) results help with this?
GAPS will provide a major improvement over earlier measurements.
“Minimal” signal case

“Maximal” signal case

GAPS will explore a substantial fraction of the allowed parameter space. Reach is complementary to direct-detection experiments.
Particle DM has strong motivation from astrophysics & particle physics.

Indirect detection is promising; it is able to test the particle hypothesis and complementary to direct detection, LHC.

Anti-deuterons are a unique probe of DM, but as interesting is the question of whether they even exist in the cosmic rays.

GAPS is a new balloon instrument using the exotic atom technique to search for anti-deuterons. Prototype flight scheduled for 2012.

LDB instrument would:
- give 3 orders of mag. improvement over previous expts.
- cover a large amount of NP parameter space
- provide different capabilities than AMS

“Great scientific discoveries have been made by men seeking to verify quite erroneous theories about the nature of things,” Aldous Huxley, 1929.